Civil Protective Orders: Justice or Just a Piece of Paper?

Funded by the National Institute of Justice



TK Logan, Ph.D. Ph: (859) 257-8248

Email: tklogan@uky.edu

Study background

Study methods:

Victim interviews

- Baseline: 213 women recruited out of court at the time they obtained a civil protective order. Interviewed at 3 weeks after the protective order. Follow ups: 3-months and 6-month follow ups after the protective order (99% follow up rate with a final sample of 210)
- All women in the study were granted the protective order
- All of the women in the study voluntarily obtained the protective order

Supplementary data

- Interviews with key community informants (n=188),
- Official court data
- Other research and data from the targeted jurisdictions

Cost data

State specific data when possible

Background



- Victims
 - 33 years old
 - 80% had children
 - 72.9% white (26.2% black)
 urban; 99.1% white rural
 - 27% had less than a high school education and no GED
 - 48% were unemployed
 - Median income was \$15,000
- Relationship
 - 51% married or ex-spouses
 - 49% boyfriend or exboyfriend
 - 48% had children in common

- Respondents
 - 35 years old
 - Prior charges and convictions
 - 78% had prior misdemeanor or felony charges
 - 9 average charges
 - 63% had prior misdemeanor or felony convictions
 - 7 prior convictions
 - 16% had prior DVOs against other victims in Kentucky
 - 87% had been in jail
 - 25% ever in prison

Do civil protective orders work?



- ➤ How do you define "work?"
 - 1. How do protective orders impact violence and abuse?
 - Do civil protective orders stop the violence?
 - Do civil protective orders reduce violence and abuse?
 - 2. What are victim perspectives on protective order effectiveness?
 - How do civil protective orders impact fear of future harm?
 - How do effective do victims think the protective order was?
 - 3. What are the costs and cost benefits of civil protective orders?
 - 4. Who do protective orders work best for and under what circumstances?

Do protective orders stop the violence or reduce violence?

- Half of victims did not experience a violation of a protective order during the 6-month follow up
- Of the half that did experience violations
 - Abuse and violence was significantly reduced!

How do effective do victims think the protective order was?

- Victims appreciated the order
 - 95% of those with no violations and 77% of those with violations felt the protective order was fairly or extremely effective
 - Victim fear at the six month follow up was significantly reduced from their fear levels before the protective order was issued
 - Only 4% dropped the order during the 6 month follow up

Cost and cost-benefit of civil protective order



- Overall,
 - For every dollar spent on the PO intervention there is \$30.75 in avoided costs to society per petitioner (costs from partner violence that would have been expected had there been no protective order)
- Cost-Benefit to the State
 - Extrapolation to civil protective orders issued in 2007 statewide (adjusted 15% for same sex and male victims)
 - Civil protective orders saved the state \$85 million in costs that would have been expected from partner violence had there been no civil protective order issued.

Stalking is dangerous, harmful, and costly

- Stalking is significantly associated with protective order violations
- Stalking behavior after the protective order is issued is associated with threats and violence
- Stalking is associated with greater property damage and more work loss for stalking victims compared to those who experience violations but no stalking
- Stalking is associated with higher victim distress than even those who experience violations but not stalking
- Stalking cost the state at least \$9 million dollars in a one year period

Key community contextual differences



- Rural women were more entrenched in the relationship
- Rural women were more afraid of every kind of fear at baseline than urban women AND had higher sustained fear than urban women at follow up.
- Rural women experienced more:
 - Child interference threats, threats to harm others and actual harassment of close others
 - More threats and actual use of a weapon

Barriers to protective orders and to protective order enforcement

- Access to protective orders and to protective order enforcement is more difficult in rural areas which is consistent with secondary justice system data
 - Rural areas have higher non-service rates, fewer domestic violence-related charges, and lower domestic violence-related convictions
 - Rural key community informants believed fewer women in their community who request protective orders actually receive them than urban key community informants
 - Rural women described more run-around, more problems related to politics, more victim blame and gender bias against them than urban women
 - Rates of perceived arrest and actual charges related to partner violence, stalking, and protective order violations were lower in the rural area compared to the urban area

Good News!



- 1. Protective orders do make a difference in violence and abuse for many victims and their children!
 - Abuse and violence reduced; fear reduced; quality of life increased
- 2. Victims appreciate the order
- 3. Protective orders cost very little in comparison to the suffering and costs of victims
- 4. Protective orders are a low cost intervention with big dividends to society (\$85 million dollars)
- 5. Protective order effectiveness does not appear to be influenced by area/jurisdiction

Areas for improvement



- Strengthening <u>enforcement</u> by encouraging the full implementation and enforcement when violations occur
- Develop more effective interventions to address partner stalking at all levels
- Address <u>barriers</u> to access and enforcement
 - For example, Navigation barriers, Gatekeeper barriers, Biases
- Agency <u>communication and coordination</u> is key to fully addressing partner violence
 - Acknowledge that agencies are dependant on each other to fully address partner violence
 - Realize that each agency may see a different group of victims, must keep the bigger picture in mind
 - Express appreciation to agencies that work to make victim lives better

Some ideas for how to address areas for improvements...

- Must be examined within the community context:
 - 1. Do a "walk-through" or carefully examining the process and decision making at every point in the process
 - 2. Create systems of feedback and accountability
 - Develop a tracking system for all civil protective order petitions denied and the reason they were denied.
 - Track the number of protective orders granted and for how long.
 - Track protective order violation charges and dispositions.
 - Create a system to lodge complaints about the system.
 - 3. Increase community collaboration including collaboration with researchers





http://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/228350.pdf